

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-818V

Filed: March 11, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

KEVIN SANFORD,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

Maximillian Muller, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner.

Traci Patton, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On July 31, 2015, Petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² [the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"]. Petitioner alleges that he "suffered a shoulder injury which was caused in fact by the" Tetanus, Diphtheria, Pertussis ("Tdap") vaccination he received on November 11, 2014. Petition at 1. On February 5, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer to which petitioner agreed. ECF No. 25.

On February 25, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 29. Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$13,336.50 and

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

attorneys' costs in the amount of \$779.02 for a total amount of \$14,115.52. Id. at ¶ 4. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. Id.

On March 10, 2016, respondent indicated by email correspondence (copying petitioner's counsel) that respondent has no objection to the overall amount requested in petitioner's motion, adding that "the lack of objection should not be construed as an admission, concession, or waiver as to any of the matters raised by petitioners request for attorneys' fees and costs, including but not limited to the hourly rates requested." Informal Remark, dated Mar. 11, 2016.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's application for attorneys' fees and costs.³

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$14,115.52⁴ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Maximillian Muller.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ The undersigned is granting petitioner's motion based on the reasonableness of the overall amount sought for attorneys' fees and costs given the circumstances in this case. This decision should not be interpreted as an acceptance of the reasonableness of either the hourly rate or amount of hours billed in this case. In other cases, the undersigned may reduce either the hourly rate requested or the amount of hours billed.

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.